

Adam Mada

Six people have been indicated in the murder of this small child. This child was passed around between relatives that the sheriff claims were unfit to take care of him, ultimately ending up in the most dangerous of homes, his mom's. Who put this child on this vulnerable path to death? Does anyone believe that DCS made 88 visits to this child? If so, what made them think conditions were so dangerous for the child to require that many visits and why didn't they remove the child as they do with children living in homes with dirty laundry, dirty dishes in a sink, refrigerators low on food?

First, let's get this out there. This agency is notorious for bogus reports and there should have been a forensic investigation of all the alleged 88 visits. In other words, look at the entire day schedule of the agent on every day they allegedly visited to see if reports of visits were inserted after the fact and if phony reports were written. Check the timing of other visits to other children before and after the alleged Mada visits to determine whether it was feasible to actually get from point A to B to C, etc. in the time line. Were law enforcement officers invited on any of the visits and, if the situation was bad enough to require 88 visits, why didn't agents seek a warrant or court order? Oh, because DCS usually snatches kids illegally without them. Why was this child in the hands of people not fit for the job, particularly when DCS normally requires all other relative placements be with folks who have passed background checks and taken training prescribed by the agency? They won't even let a child stay with grandparents unless they have done this.

Were all these issues brought up before the Grand Jury or was this part of the investigation swept under the rug to protect DCS again for a child's death? Someone at DCS needs to be held responsible. A child is dead. And, while on this subject, why were the bonds set so low for these low lives?

Rick Manuel