

WHITE-FEMALE-GAY-PROFESSIONAL- WEALTHY-THIEF- NOT IN JAIL ?

WE WANT TO KNOW WHY!

Allison Scollar, Esq. has allegedly admitted to involvement in the theft of Five Million Dollars from her legal firm's escrow account over a four year period, (2010 - 2014) as she allegedly wrote checks from her escrow account/personal slush fund, as per sources known to and interviewed by the staff of the Foundation For Child Victims Of The Family Courts. Scollar has as per information and belief, admitted to Felony charges and is due to be sentenced along with two of three men. One individual, Eli Luski, died while incarcerated at Rikers Island on or about Mar. 22, 2017.

What we know is that Scollar's stealing from her law firm's escrow account, along with other personal accounts began “way before her conspiracy story about being conned into loans” as of Sept. 2010, lasted for the next four years until the thefts were inadvertently uncovered. The precipitant of the thefts are related by two of the co conspirators as having stemmed from Scollar's explanation to her co-conspirators was that she needed to pay off a judge and other court personnel in order to “save” her daughter from an “abusive” parent/the child's biological mother.

What we also know is that Scollar began wiring money, millions of dollars, to co-conspirator Eli Luski just weeks after Scollar filed for custody in Manhattan Family Court (July 9, 2010), stating that “she had sole custody of the child” and that Brook Altman, biological mother, “absconded with the child.” The court failed to verify the custody accusation, or any other facts related to what appeared to be a contentious situation. The court acted in all haste to wrest the child from the custody of her mother, in the midst of a planned trip to see family in California, which was actually precipitated by Altman's request that Scollar seek treatment for her excessive drinking. Upon forced return from the trip planned with Scollar's consent and payment for two airplane tickets to California, Scollar secured temporary custody, Altman was left with supervised visitation of her own child. While Scollar was in sole possession of the child, fearing for the child's safety, Altman hired a Private Investigator to follow Scollar, thus learning that Scollar was drinking and driving with the child in the car. Specifically, Scollar was ordered to drive a car with an interlock breathalyzer in the car. Scollar was noted by the PI to have avoided the car with the breathalyzer and used her mother's car so that she could drive from winery to bar with the child in the car as she swerved between East Hampton and Sag Harbor, as per documentation on video and photo by the PI.

An Order to Show Cause was filed with evidence of Scollar's drunk driving with the child in the car, as Judge Sosa-Lintner ignored the papers and Scollar apologized to the court “stating she didn't understand the oOrder”. Sosa-Lintner placed an illegal Order stating that neither Ms. Altman nor Ms. Scollar could have either party “followed”, denying further accountability via Due Process, to Ms. Altman.

Evidence shows Scollar relied on Luski during her custody battle times of crisis. Scollar fired off numerous emails to Luski and on 14 separate occasions over 48 hours frantically contacted him by phone. During certain dramatic moments in the custody battle, Scollar

as per information and belief, forwarded information via email to Luski through one of the co-conspirators and Eli's wife, Alex, requesting information about court and asking Luski to "take action that might solve the problem." When Altman filed an appeal, Scollar allegedly emailed Luski to "find out what was going on", instead of contacting her attorneys.

The liaison with Luski, the only named co-conspirator not sued by Scollar, as she signed a "General Release" on Aug. 14, 2014 releasing Luski from "all causes of actions, claims, promises, sums of money, etc. ", because, according to Scollar he was the one helping to pay off the family court battle Allegedly, Luski continued to work with Scollar on stealing funds from the escrow account as funds continued with a quid pro quo arrangement. Scollar loaned money, received interest on loaned funds received in cash and then, as per information, deemed reliable, payments were delivered to Scollar in envelopes to her office. The individuals interviewed alleged that Allison Scollar was the prime mover in the theft and money laundering, charged as First and Second Degrees, Residential Mortgage Fraud in the Second degree as originally charged in May, 2016 case #01808 -2106.

Funds stolen from the firm of Gold Scollar and Moshan, PLLC were under the control of Allison Scollar. Scollar took on the role of cooperating witness, plead guilty to the crime and then, it appears, attempted to paint herself as an "innocent other" (The New York County District Attorney's Office – Press Release May 2, 2016).

Statements from other first degree witnesses in the case, provided testimony that Luski was heavily involved in bribery, corruption, computer hacking and other illegal activities in order to assist Scollar in winning her custody battle. In exchange, Luski received nearly two million in funds from Scollar's escrow account. However, of greatest interest is exactly why did ADA Sara Saks maintain such a limited scope of investigation into the theft and money laundering activity reputedly driven by the frantic need to secure custody of Brook Altman's biological child. Custody was secured under circumstances that suggested probable cause of corruption on the part of former Judge Gloria Sosa-Lintner, Court Attorney Wilfredo Suarez, Presiding Family Court Judge Douglas E. Hoffman, suddenly promoted to Supreme Court as of July 2017, and Forensic Neutral, Sara Weiss, PhD, of Heartland Psychological Services.

ADA Sara Saks carved out a sliver of criminal activity that stopped at the border of investigation of a Family Court considered so rife with inexplicable, inconceivable ineptitude and violation of rights that probable cause of criminal activity is a thoroughly logical path to follow, yet was clearly eschewed by the DA's office. Judges cloaked with discretion and bathed and oiled in immunity are allowed to lie, cheat and steal, behave badly or without compassion or decency holding hostage a helpless public. Better service from judges would be compelled if there was a customer service survey instead of the unbridled power allowed by public servants of the DA's office who are either intimidated, lazy or simply failing to enforce their powers of investigation when such evidence of corruption exists hiding in plain sight.

Staff of FCVFC contacted the DA's office in May, 2017 to make them aware that they had gravely underestimated the psychopathology of the person before them and had

therefore poorly evaluated the case as to culpability of actors. Information leading to this assessment by FCVFC staff was based on extensive documentation review and live interviews, in person evaluation, in court observation of Family Court Custody proceedings involving both Scollar and Altman.

Allison Scollar has been accused by two of the three men as involving them in the theft of funds allegedly because she needed money to pay off judges and experts to “save” her adopted daughter from her partner, television Producer, four time Emmy Award winner, Brook Altman. Scollar falsely accused Altman of abducting the child and of being a danger to the child. Real Estate Broker Allison Scollar hired luminary law firms – Blank Rome, Aiken Gump, who charge fees of one thousand dollars an hour (\$1000) filed evidence that was, factually fraudulent through legal papers in Family Court that ignored fact for fiction, acted on fantasy and delusional drama, violating Due Process and Equal Access to the Courts because the broad sided biological mother of the child, Brook Altman was out gunned, caught off guard and catapulted into the nightmare of her life for the next seven and ongoing years.

Facilitating the nightmare was former Judge Gloria Sosa-Lintner who praised Scollar as the “More Responsible Parent looking out for the child's best interests, and not her own interests” and Forensic “Neutral”, Sara Weiss PhD of Heart Land Psychological Services who was the court appointed evaluator. The ethically challenged Rosemary Rivieccio, whose glancing relationship with the truth has been the subject of considerable complaint and commentary as per complaints filed with the New York Bar Association by staff of the FCVFC. These court actors trafficked the baseless, thoroughly illegal custody transfer of the biological child from the Protective Parent to an individual who on any minimal inspection of the facts would be considered ineligible for such a custody transfer, even if indicated, which it was not.

Sosa-Lintner, when acknowledging Altman's position as biological mother, her contrarian, unscientific statement that “this does not give her an automatic priority over the adoptive parent”, contradicted God and Science, Constitutional law and most state laws that defer to biological parents even under the most unconscionable circumstances. The statement created new legal precedent supporting musical chairs custody, contradicting the newly informed, scholarly studies informing the enlightened direction of open adoptions. Coincidentally after Scollar was caught with a “shortfall” in her escrow and her case was revealed in the Daily News (Oct. 31, 2014), the Law Guardian for the Child, Rosemary Rivieccio, asked to be relieved from the case and shortly after Gloria Sosa-Lintner was removed from the bench, court sources say.

As of Aug. 2017, Allison Scollar, co-conspirator in the theft of funds from her law firm's escrow account is the cooperating witness in the indictment noted above. Scollar has most recently plead to a Felony, withdrawn from the New York Bar and is awaiting sentencing November 1, 2017. No longer actively practicing law, Scollar has been continuing as a Real Estate Broker for the Manhattan Real Estate firm of Keller Williams, from which she had access to vulnerable, potential victims, such as the client who was unable to consummate the real estate closing that led to Scollar's exposure and criminal convictions of three other defendants, (Case #1:2014cv07683)

The biological parent of the child, literally stolen in Family Court on a “Hot” deal, Brook Altman, has been fighting a losing battle for seven years. Between one inept, incompetent, lazy attorney after another, Larry Greenberg, Esq. being the end of the line and on Altman's last nerve, Altman decided to take a stand when as of June 2017 Judge Douglas E. Hoffman proffered draconian orders for Altman and Scollar to repeat a “Forensic Evaluation” by the same individual whose incompetent, inept report inexplicably landed the child in the hands of Scollar. The statement made by Sosa Lintner in the course of her custody transfer stripping custody and all decision making from Altman from her six year old daughter based on the supposition – which could have only been provided by Sara Weiss, suggested that Altman behaved “more as a friend or older sister than as a responsible parent” (Transcript custody trial)

The report provided by Sara Weiss PhD of Heart Land Psychological Associates was sharply and thoroughly criticized by Jill Jones-Soderman, Psychoanalyst/Clinical Forensic Expert Executive Director of the FCVFC. Jones-Soderman a Psychoanalyst and a Clinical Forensic Expert has long been a vocal expert against hired guns who act as judicial “mini me's” draped with some degree of immunity. Obscured from the scrutiny of their own licensing boards, the “Mini Me's” court appointed's are wrongfully kept from accountability for their impactful decisions because the courts will not provide the boards with records needed to review the practices, standards of care observed in the generation of these reports, by evaluators too often regarded with undeserved considerable weight. If an evaluator has an opinion based on good clinical judgment and evidence then they are accountable for supporting that opinion with scholarly research and evidence of conclusions leading to recommendations. The Weiss report in the opinion of Jones-Soderman was an absolute, unmitigated disgrace.

As to the law, court “appointed’s” are not elected nor court employees subject to employment policy. In fact, there is no basis in written law on the New York State books that point to the official legal position of the imposition of such an authority as “Forensic Neutral”/ Such evaluation is different from criminal competence evaluations or probable cause evaluations required in circumstances of need for appointment of a conservator. The vagueness, whimsical nature and informality of such rules of appointment amount to - “I am telling you, you have to do this”, lend themselves to secrecy, lack of oversight, lack of accountability and unscientific practice.

Adding to the suggestion that serious impropriety was present in the custody transfer of the biological child of Brook Altman, who faced no complaint related to abuse or neglect was never able to defend against delusional charges filed as part of an ongoing blizzard of frivolous legal actions filed without restraint, by Allison Scollar, without proper defense by her attorney, Lawrence Greenberg or judicial action by Judge Hoffman. Judge Hoffman was faced with legal documents filed by Allison Scollar, pro se, whose content was clearly, by all objective standards, deranged and rapidly dismissed by Judge Hoffman, yet no moment of reflection on that content was considered. The fact that Judge Douglas E. Hoffman compelled the appointment of Sara Weiss at a fee of \$500. per hour and the ability to bring together whatever other experts she deemed necessary, over the ardent, factually based objections of Brook Altman, further suggested a level of arbitrary abuse of power and naked bias. Hoffman could have allowed for appointment of an alternate evaluator, with access to the reports notes and work product of Sara Weiss. In

this manner, Hoffman could have avoided the appearance of impropriety on his part.

In the course of attempting to justify the appointment of Sara Weiss as a Forensic Evaluator, Hoffman made the decision to interview the ten year old child with her attorney, Phil Schiff on May 16, 2017. Phil Schiff had been vigorously advocating for the child to have an in camera interview as the child was vociferous in her demands to be heard. However, in the course of this positive move to see the child Judge Hoffman availed himself of the opportunity to make rude, snarky comments, on the record, related to the child's thoughts and feelings before the seated, present court audience. We suggest that Judge Hoffman hoped he would find grounds in such an interview to disqualify and discredit the child, yet we know that in fact, as per comments on the record by Judge Hoffman, all concerns about the child's placement and safety in that placement were confirmed in the court conference of May 30, 2017 in which Judge Hoffman convened all parties to discuss his directives.

The child allegedly made disclosures to Judge Hoffman that were adequately compelling to have forced her removal from Scollar's custody instantly with an emergency investigation by DCF that should have been ordered by Judge Hoffman; however, that did not happen. Judge Hoffman redoubled court orders to force Altman to report to Sara Weiss and pay - or - lose all child contact privileges. He further directed attorney Greenberg who was in the process of being discharged by Brook Altman, as per instant Motions before the court, to redouble his efforts to have Ms. Altman comply, or be held in contempt of court, with all available penalties capable of being invoked in the face of such contempt.

This case raises the question as to whether justice is not depicted by scales but instead by a calculator and balance sheet - not led by the words - In God We Trust - but instead - To The Highest Bidder.