

CORRUPTION REIGNS IN HOWARD COUNTY, MARYLAND

A CHILD'S NIGHTMARE

AN ELEVEN YEAR OLD CHILD HAS ARTICULATEDLY AND BRAVELY FOUGHT FOR HIS FREEDOM FROM A FATHER WHO HOLDS HIM CAPTIVE OUT OF SPITE AND VENGEANCE

RICO: Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations Act, commonly referred to as the RICO Act, or simply RICO, is a United States federal law that provides for extended criminal penalties and a civil cause of action for acts performed as part of an ongoing criminal organization.

ALLEGED EVIDENCE OF RICO ACTIVITY AMONG MD COURT ACTORS, WITH COLLUSION OF LOCAL POLICE

The facts that back up this allegation are fully documented and have been presented to the Circuit and District Courts in Maryland. Herein we describe the egregious acts of a corrupt Court.

The Foundation for the Child Victims of the Family Courts (FCVFC), a not-for-profit child advocacy entity made up of family advocates, psychologists, medical doctors and clinical forensic legal experts who have supported a protective parent in the case of Bouma v. Bouma in front of the Circuit Court in Ellicott City, MD., has endured the most vicious, libelous, and unprecedented assaults by this Court due to FCVFC's aggressive and lawful efforts to defend its client.

The above named Court has blatantly supported the attorney for the father, Jason Karl Bouma, and has blatantly suppressed evidence on the part of the protective mother. We allege that the Court has suborned perjury through Md. Child Protective Services (CPS) worker Ms. Bobbi Feher, and allowed the "Best Interest" Attorney, Alysa Cummins, to perjure, and suppress evidence that would have protected the child in this case, Jase Bouma, who she is paid to protect, although she has not seen or spoken with him in over two years.

Staff of the FCVFC allege that Alyssa Cummins, in concert with Christopher Rand, attorney for the father of the child, have themselves suspected to have been paid off by the father, while aiding and abetting the paying off of lawyers for the protective parent, distorting any possibility of a just outcome in this case. We hereby state that the child's father keeps the child in what the child has described as deplorable circumstances, living alone in a basement, deprived of proper education and medical care.

We further allege that the reports submitted by Court appointed psychologist Paul Berman, well known in Maryland to be the psychologist used when one parent wishes to terminate the custody of the other, protective parent, wrongfully portray the situation of the child as living in a healthy situation with his father. Berman's reports defy all the evidence to the contrary, scientific logic, and scholarly practice with a blatant credo of whomever pays most wins: the child be damned.

The triumvirate of Rand, Cummins and Berman, and whoever CPS workers are assigned to the case has been acting in concert as a RICO, where the custody outcome has already decided behind closed doors, in illicit ex parte communications. In the present case of Bouma v. Bouma, in open court, the corruption and pre-determined outcome was so blatant that Cummins and Judge Becker did not even

feel a need to disguise their contempt for the protective parent. The casual, open discussions in Court between Cummins and Becker, with Feher sitting next to Cummins, openly speaking of how can they could further destroy the mother's credibility was astounding, especially in light of the overall facts of the case.

Legal charges and possible jail time for the mother was openly discussed, along with sanctions including payment of \$18,775 in Rand's legal fees were humiliating, along with the imposition of an injunction keeping the mother from filing any legal actions in the case, as would be her right in protecting her son against the father's behavior, as described in the CPS report of Feher.

We claim that the actions of the Court have driven the subject child into a constant state of despair and a often openly repeated wish for death. FCVFC staff have also witnessed, in Court, the taunting of the mother by local police in Courtroom testimony, continuing the attempt to humiliate and dissuade the mother from every effort be in contact with her son.

High conflict custody litigation does not have to be a "blood sport", unless, as evidenced by the RICO-style behavior of those above bad actors, when one combines the ingredients of one-sided monetary throw-weight, pure vengeance and vindictive insistence, resulting in total control of the Court outcome. The mother, in this case the protective parent, has barely been able to see or speak with her son. She is kept from any information about his health, schooling and limited social life. The Court's subjective and wrongful decisions have willfully contributed to this toxic witches' brew, as a mother who is desperate to care for a son she loves has lost custody. And the boy who loves her is being kept against his will with a father who has proven his consistent indifference to the child.

This eleven year old child has, in the most detailed manner, has described the abuses of the father toward him, and the father's attempts to alienate him from his mother. Additionally, the father's willingness to force the mother into financial destitution is beyond factual questioning, given the Court record and the continued desperate declarations of the child, which are clearly detailed in the CPS reports ignored by the Court. Officially, CPS is "sealed" from prying eyes, but the detailed reporting of Feher has, despite all efforts, come to light, describing the depraved indifference of the Court, and the RICO-type actions keeping a child apart from a mother.

Currently, on December 2, 2014, the mother, in her own defense has taken action by filing a Federal Civil Rights Action in Federal Court in Md. against Judges McCrone, Gelfman, Becker, and Alyssa Cummins, Esq., et al.

From the desk of: *THE FOUNDATION OF THE CHILD VICTIMS OF THE FAMILY COURTS*

275 Madison Avenue, Sixth Floor, New York, NY 10016
www.fcvfc.org 866-553-6931

Attachment- Letter written in July 2014 to:
The Judicial Disabilities Board
Att: Alex Wright
The People's Resource Center Room
100 Community Place
Crownsville, Maryland 21032

Dear Mr. Wright,

I write to you as Jill Jones-Soderman, PHD, MSHS, Executive Director of the Foundation for the Child Victims of the Family Courts, a 501(c) 3, a Not-for-Profit Foundation. I am the Trial Advocate and Legal Forensic Expert for minor litigant Jase Bouma (DOB 6/15/03) and his mother, Laura Bouma, principals in the case of Bouma v, Bouma, now in front of the Circuit Court in Ellicott City.

I am currently writing to the Disabilities Board and to the Court to address the fact that there are severe and provable irregularities which are currently interfering with the Court's ability to accurately and completely be presented with hard evidence in this case, on behalf of my clients, due to the consistent and pernicious interference of key actors in this case, and against my clients' interests. It is to be noted that both the child and mother are under severe mental and economic distress that cannot be overstated, due to the lengthy court proceedings and the unnecessarily adversarial actions of the Court. It has come to my direct attention, as per my organization's intensive investigation and case review, that Christopher Rand, Esq., the attorney for Jason Bouma, father of Jase Bouma, has threatened various attorneys that have entered the case on behalf of my clients with spurious legal actions, in the attempt to repress evidence and to stop the psychological evaluations and court appearances designed to protect the life and welfare of the child, Jase Bouma, thus denying Jase and his mother's Constitutional rights to a full and fair hearing in Court.

Attorney Rand has spoken at length with Ms. Bouma's most recent counsel, David Oles, Esq., and in the past had spoken with Loyd Byron Hopkins, Esq., who then informed Dr. Monty Weinstein, an expert witness and Founder of the Family Therapy Center in New York, that Mr. Rand was insistent that Dr. Weinstein not be allowed to examine the child or testify in court. Mr. Hopkins, as with other attorneys involved in this case, suddenly withdrew from the case before presenting to this Court hard evidence on behalf of my client, evidence which has so far been consistently suppressed by Alissa Cummins, Esq., a "Best Interest Attorney", and Christopher Rand. Esq.

Mr. Rand has consistently distorted the facts of the case, suppressed pertinent and factual evidence, and has managed to intimidate attorneys paid for and sworn to work with Ms. Bouma for the protection of her child. These facts seem to be of little or no interest to this Court. Indeed, several Judges involved in the case have been publicly named as having unduly humiliated the mother and child in a public forum, violated the clients' HIPPA rights and trounced on the clients' rights under Maryland law and the Constitution.

I am unhappy to report the demonstrable level of sheer indifference, and failure of concern or compassion that anyone can see evident throughout the transcripts and

hidden testimony in this case. The disgraceful behavior of Judges and other Court actors in this case, including the nefarious shadows of several well-known, and otherwise respected mental health professionals have not gone unnoticed, and have been documented and reported to the appropriate Maryland licensing boards. Whether or not these individuals momentarily escape sanction or punishment, they will be held fully and completely accountable for their deeds harmful to the correct prosecution of this case, on behalf of my clients.

My concern as a professional psychoanalyst with many years of successful experience, is as to whether or not Jase Bouma will live to experience the consequences of the justice he so well deserves. He is, I believe, in mortal danger due to his current psychological state, which is not finding any relief in the actions of the Court. The complete ignorance of the Court as to the critical nature of this child's mental health is partially due to the negligent and misleading report by Child Protective Services' caseworker Bobbi Feher. The report (refer to CPS report of 2/11/2014, presented to Judge McCrone) displays a failure of CPS and the State to act in accord with any professionalism, undermining the most basic standards of acceptable care for those in circumstances of severe distress. In this case, the CPS Caseworker should be held responsible for negligence, especially when she includes statements related to her own personal opinions and preferences, and against the interests of her charge. Judges must also be held responsible for refusing to accept evidence, or secreting relevant evidence that conveys information critical to a party's case, especially a helpless minor.

Laura Bouma has fought tirelessly to present relevant and pertinent evidence on behalf of her son. She has been personally vilified, libeled and defamed publicly in a manner that defies reason or logic. That evidence has been suppressed, distorted and ignored by the Court is an indisputable fact. It is time that a qualified, fearless and objective professional be allowed to evaluate this child, and opine on the state of affairs of this important case, which until now have been kept from public view by the irresponsible and vindictive actions of attorneys Christopher Rand and Alissa Cummins, Esq., and the actions of the Court.

The above attorneys in this case have gone far beyond advocating for their client's interests; they have deliberately acted against the interests of a minor and are in danger of doing incalculable and irreversible harm. Ms. Cummins has committed actions which rise to the level of professional indifference to human suffering and harm, and has consciously and intentionally suppressed critical evidence, placing mother and child at great risk and long enduring harm. The Court's automaton-like acceptance of impropriety has been going on for years due to laziness, thoughtlessness, and professional indifference. It is for this reason that we are alerting you as to the underbelly of this case, and seek relief with your Agency, and in the Court of Public Opinion, as we have not received justice in the Circuit Court as yet.

Dr. Weinstein will be writing his own letter to the Court, providing in his own words his experience of the unconscionable violation of the due process rights of the litigants – minor Jase Bouma and mother Laura Bouma.

Our immediate concern is having Jase Bouma seen and evaluated by Dr. Monty Weinstein at the earliest possible convenience. We have every confidence that Dr. Weinstein will neither be intimidated by the Court or the adversaries of Jase and his mother, nor will he be biased in any manner by the strong feelings generated by the gross injustices and malfeasances committed by this Court, whose officers were sworn to objectively uphold the highest legal standards for every litigant, but who have seemingly failed up until today in this case.

On July 28, 2014, there will be yet another hearing in the case of Bouma v Bouma. It is hoped that despite the many questionable, unethical, immoral, and unprofessional allegations that have been permitted to be aired in this Court against Jase and Laura Bouma, that justice will prevail. The unholy alliance of those who try to intimidate litigants and their attorneys, suppress facts and denigrate experts, will be confronted and communicated at the highest levels, until all actions perverting justice under the law are corrected and properly addressed in Maryland.

Most Sincerely,
Jill Jones-Soderman
Executive Director
Foundation for the Child Victims of Family Courts