

COMPLAINT AGAINST COMPREHENSIVE FAMILY SERVICES ADMINISTRATION AND STAFF

This complaint is being written against the agency CFS, their administrative staff as well as each and every member of the staff dealing with fourteen month old baby Avalynn. The “forensic” socialworkers, who have contributed to the suffering of this child, whom we view as nothing more than glorified baby sitters, are liable for their complicit behavior with two key actors who have played a central role in contriving to conceal bad behavior on the father's part, the reason that he was Court Ordered to Supervised visitation.

ACS substantiated the father for child abuse and neglect. Extensive testimony exists dealing with the use of Steroids, Cocaine, Ketamine, other illegal drugs, yet reports of the rude, dismissive behavior of each social worker clearly demonstrates a lack of attention to supervising the father, as opposed to shielding him from scrutiny during the periods of baby transfer from the mother to the father. There are a series of sworn reports that attest to seeing the father appearing red eyed, inebriated, dishevelled at times of baby transfer.* There are also reports of social workers shielding the father from view, walking around the block, surveilling the vicinity to make sure that no unwelcome presence is viewing, photographing /videotaping the father.* Given that the father is accused of many heinous acts, the opportunity to be seen as a loving, caretaking presence in the exchanges should be embraced, instead of shunned, if such behavior was being engaged,

CFS supervisors have been negligent in including in their vacuous reports, any comment related to the father's mental state, affirmation of mental competence. CFS supervisors have been negligent in making sure that baby Avalynn is eating and drinking adequately wearing comfortable diapers, appropriate to her size and weight. She returns home with marks across her stomach and legs indicating tight fitting diapers. She returns to her home tired, cranky, crying, irritable. She is comforted with a bottle, not a “sippy cup” provided at the father's residence. She has now failed to thrive as she had prior to the visits, not gaining height as per scheduled gains expected.

The idea that a baby is woken from sound sleep on a Sunday morning to adhere to the schedule imposed by a father whose compilation of actions fit a profile of a cruel and sadistic personality disorder, fitting with a retaliation on the part of the father who is using the suffering of the child to torture the mother. The social workers hired by CFS are indifferent and hostile to the questions and concerns of the mother and grandmother of the child. These social workers are witnesses to the child's distress and deterioration. They appear to forget that they are mandated reporters. As mandated reporters, licensed by the state, they have a fiduciary responsibility to the child for her care and protection, a matter that seems of complete indifference to them. Testament to their negligence,

noted in individual accounts of the actions of each assigned worker is being sent to the NY State Social Work Licensing Board. Injuries to the child under their supervision are noted and included are the falls, hospitalization of the baby, which seems to have not been reported to ACS by CFS staff.

An additional concern with regard to the functioning of all levels of CFS staff is the inimical communications between the attorney for the baby, Heather Saslovsky and ACS/ CFS staff who are all too willing to bear testimony and complaint against the Plaintiff mother.* Ms. Saslovsky has carried on a rigorous campaign against the mother, in support of the father with the alliance of the CFS staff as clearly indicated in the communications between CFS, Ms. Saslovsky and the apparent responsiveness of the court.

Among our concerns as to the relationship between these aforementioned actors is the fact that there has been no attorney intervention to raise these issues and concerns which are blatant, obvious and dangerous to the subject baby's health, welfare, survival. The prior attorney for the Plaintiff mother referred the court to the CFS agency, with the direction and support of Heather Saslovsky, attorney for the child. The move from a neutral agency, with no financial incentive for either party, tipped the power balance in favor of the father and created a phalanx of reporters providing inadequate, carefully scripted biased reports.

The behavior of each and every “forensic” social worker is detailed in complaints against them by name before the New York Social Work Licensing Board. The functioning of these “forensic social workers” have been nothing more than glorified baby sitters, pandering to the father who is court ordered to supervised visitation because of extremely violent acts toward the child as the child was in the mother's arms. The first Protective Order was issued because of the description and reports to the Police about this violent attack. Ongoing issues as to the disturbing manner in which the baby has become a focus for retaliation against the mother - through the rigid, unwieldy manner in which visitation is conducted*, care of the baby as per feeding – not being given a bottle/issues of adequate fluids*, diapering in diapers too small – regularly, causing discomfort*, not napping or reports of baby sleeping on the Father's chest, disregard for the baby's schedule - sleep, weather, causing discomfort and severe illness. These issues, dismissed by the untrained eye are in fact part of a disturbing personality disorder seen in the behaviors of parental abusers, domestic violence abusers and those with sadistic personality disorders in which control, chaos, misery and humiliation inflicted on the target is a central feature of their life activities. The afore mentioned behaviors, in addition to drug/alcohol abuse, weapon possession, devious criminal activity are part of a pattern of behavior known to be associated with psychopathic / paranoid psychopathic criminals.

The capacity for charm, coopting those who can assist in the diabolical plots he obsesses over to make the lives of those around him who challenge his authority or make unwelcome demands on him or as miserable and disrupted as he can compel are now facilitated by the Family Court Judge, and court actors. Attorney Heather Saslovsky, court appointed parenting coordinator agency Comprehensive Family Services over which the Judge gave authority to direct the protocol for pick up and return of the baby have provided a gun to the head of the subject victim, mother who has an Order of Protection and the abuser father who was substantiated for child abuse and neglect by ACS.

The “protocol “ for structuring visitation is so rigidly constructed, so unfairly balanced as to shared responsibility for pick up and delivery of the baby as to create an ongoing hazard to the mother in the form of being in Contempt of Court if the baby is not promptly delivered to the father, door to door at his home. E mails to the mother address time periods as small as four minutes in delay of baby transfer. There is no consideration for the weather or any form of emergency. There is no path for any form of communication, simply unyielding demand to adhere to the father's Court Ordered schedule, which did not anticipate the antagonism, hostility toward the mother exhibited by the CFS workers.

The father can cancel visitation after the baby has been delivered*. Safe transfer of the baby involves moving her by carriage from her home, placing her in a car seat in a cab, once a cab is secured. Transport is accomplished with the aid of the baby sitter retained by the mother. The mother cannot transport the baby because there is an Order of Protection against the father for trying to kill the baby, yet now the father's rights to visitation are being strongly enforced. Not only are the father's rights to visitation are being enforced, but these staged visits on the part of these caricature professionals/ todies are arranged to establish the father as a fit parent to assume full custody of the child in fulfillment of promised revenge by the father against the mother.

This visitation exchange process takes place twice a day as per visit, three times per week at considerable expense to the mother and disruption to the baby. The baby has been transported in rain, snow, bitter cold weather. She is exhausted, has become ill tempered, has little fits of temper, where she never displayed such behavior and had only been described as happy, relaxed and loving. There are no descriptions of the baby with the father.* There are tight lipped notes from the monitors of what she has eaten – with noted absence of being provided a bottle which is nourishing and comforting. Of note is that the baby has been described as not taking a bottle from the father and displaying other forms of discomfort with him. There are no pictures of father and daughter or descriptions of interaction between them or comment about the baby. The reports by the momitors are cold and sterile.

Death threats and other forms of threats have been unleashed in the most vile terms against the Plaintiff mother, her parents and friends. Those threats extended by text and e mail continued while the father was under supervision. The Plaintiff mother has had, continues to have a Protective Order against the father.

When a problem quickly arose around visitation, there was no point of communication or negotiation. The attorney for the mother, Peter Nisppen failed to address any issues with the court and refused to file any complaint as Peter Nisppen stated the Director of CFS, Richard Spitzer is a close personal friend.* When the mother/client of the attorney complained of her treatment at the hands of this agency, the attorney Peter Nisppen stated that he was a close personal friend and could not intervene.

It is most important to note that Richard Spitzer, CFS has a notorious reputation for bias, for providing false testimony against vulnerable parents and children*. He has a reputation for engaging in social relationships with the paying parent in the course of CFS case engagement. The FCVFC has filed detailed, evidence based complaints against Richard Spitzer and CFS. Our clients cases have been successful in our dealings with the irresponsible, destructive presence of this agency, but others who have filed heart wrenching complaints have not been so fortunate.

The Plaintiff mother's current attorney, William Beslow retained some weeks ago has been missing in action, with barely any contact with his frantic client, absent from the court date of Jan. 9th, 2018, in which Ms. Saslovsky hoped to put forth her recommendation for baby Avalynn to be transferred into the hands of her indifferent, negligent, violently aggressive father who nearly killed her. The baby was not killed because the mother pulled the baby out of harms way as the father swung at the baby's head while the baby was in her arms. Had she not been able to intervene the mother attests that she feared that she and the baby might well have been murdered that night.*

The abject incompetence, negligence, lack of client/family concern on the part of the majority of the attorneys retained by the Plaintiff mother has placed her child in jeopardy. The removal of the Stay Away Protective Order as demanded by one of the attorney seeking the good opinion of the court, mindless of the dangerousness of the adversary faced by mother and child and her family, has compromised the court's view of the integrity of the mother. The suggestion that the attorney is minimizing the threat level makes the mother seem a hysterical female as opposed to an acute observer and someone who has learned a lesson.

The failure of the State's attorney, Ada George and Judge Ricahrdson to provide a Protective Order as per multiple threats in writng and orally has left multiple individuals who care for the baby and who are forced to interact with the Defendant father, in fear for their lives, subject to increasing levels of distress.. Further, the minimization of

threats from the father increases his dangerousness to those around him.

Another note of concern as to the tone deafness of state authorities - ACS – the Family Court Judge to look for signs of dangerousness is – how does one not reflect on the fact that the Defendant father did not simply hire a criminal attorney, but he hired a criminal attorney with a reputation for successfully defending major well know alleged murderers. One would think that there would be at least a moment of reflection on what this might mean as to some consciousness of concern on the father's part as to the level of his current or future liability.

Please note that the complaints filed are not based on any form of personal animus or competition on any front with any agency or personnel. Complaints are driven purely by deep and profound concern for this child and her family, based on extensive forensic record review, research and examination, which is ongoing.

Jill Jones-Soderman