

Connecticut Bar Association

Complaint Against Maria McKeon

Written On Behalf of [REDACTED] and Minor Children

Introduction to the Work of the FCVFC - Attached

Please be advised that this complaint is being written on an emergency basis, to gain attention to the fact that the attorney for the Defendant in this case is suborning perjury and attempting to perpetrate a fraud on the honorable court of Judge Novak, presiding Judge in the matter of [REDACTED] v. [REDACTED] by attorney Maria McKeon.

Ms. McKeon, whose loud voice in court obscures, obfuscates and befuddles the gathering of evidence, much less an immediate, as needed, accurate picture of the acute suffering of the older child, age five (5), whose articulate and clear verbalizations amidst screams for rescue by her father are bulldozed from the picture by the ridiculous, illiterate babble of Ms. McKeon. The language of junk science purveyors who use psychobabble instead of the hard work of evaluation and speaking to the child to needlessly expand their billing at the expense of the child, should not be allowed. It prolongs suffering, endangers life, and perverts a path to justice, as is the case presented here with an abundance of hard, palpable evidence, which supercilious “therapeutic” providers think they can avoid/overlook because they know better without ever looking.

The matter that causes this instant situation's rise to the emergency status is that the personal health, welfare and safety of two young children is viscerally and urgently at risk. Further delays in intervention are caused by the inadequate understanding and comprehension on the part of DCF interviewers, who appear chronically incapable of understanding children's cognitive processes, or developmental stages. Such serious lacunae make processes like “coaching” or “brain washing” a physical impossibility, a code too easily/instantly cracked because little children lack the capacity for nuance and memory of complex abstract thought processes.

The Plaintiff's attorney was under the impression that the client wanted a rapid, conflict free divorce and that he was attempting to smoothly facilitate that process. He had no idea, and no reason to expect, that the adversary lawyer and defendant present challenges he clearly could not have expected. Further, the Plaintiff's attorney was not acquainted with the clinical evidence of inescapable acknowledgement of physical and emotional abuse of the five year old. He was unaware of the profound suffering caused by very real physical abuse inflicted upon the five year old child by her mother, compounded by the impact of neglect regarding basic care – hygiene, feeding, diapering, and failure to use car seats and seat belt restraints*. Aberrant care inflicted on the nearly fifteen month old child continues as an issue as well. The impact of the mother's practices on the toddler has not as yet been calibrated as the toddler is a living doll in her mother's possession, who has not developed traits of personality and developmental preferences known to be different between babies and five year old girls.

Complaints have been minimized and dismissed as the routine bantering of a quarreling / divorcing couple by disengaged, therapists. The routinely inadequate, bureaucratic response of DCF workers are evidenced as they have no comprehension of what they are viewing until they are viewing an autopsy or a wrongful death litigation suit.*

Procedural History

██████████ and ██████████ were married on Feb. 18, 2006 in Lima, Peru. ██████████ is a native of Peru. ██████████ met ██████████ in Peru when he was traveling.

██████████ became a naturalized citizen of the United States in 2011 with the assistance of her husband and mother-in-law. The couple lived in New York City from October, 2006 to February 2016, followed by a move to Connecticut. The couple's two children were born in the course of the marriage.

The marital relationship was consistently difficult, punctuated by more than one move toward divorce, two pregnancies, and then further exacerbated by a medical emergency that disrupted ██████████'s life and career. As of May 2017, ██████████ was diagnosed, treated, and continues an estimated six to twelve month rehabilitation, after which he will return to his career.

As of October 3rd, 2017, [REDACTED] obtained a Protective Order on behalf of himself and his two daughters because verbal and physical threats of aggressive interaction were felt to have exceeded boundaries of safety. [REDACTED] felt that he had no constructive impact on his wife's behavior regarding parenting/nurturing interactions with the children and that she presented an ongoing clear and present danger to the five year old child.

[REDACTED] was adequately sophisticated to engage in multiple plastic surgery procedures for her body enhancement, yet when it came to child care practices, her dealings with the children can only be described in psychological terms to be primitive, viciously controlling, and absent of concern for the health, welfare, comfort of the children*. Cultural norms of child nurturing and care are as universal as are the symptoms of severe psychological illness or abuse across cultures. Any ethnocentric explanation for depravity is nothing other than ethnocentric discrimination, ignorance, arrogance and insult to loving, caring parents across ethnic, cultural, and socioeconomic boundaries. Further, severe psychiatric illness and the markers of such illness as extreme mood disorder, thought disorder, paranoia, abuse and violence are equally similar across all geographic boundaries, as are the words describing symptoms that have the same meaning and emotional valence.

Separate from discussion of parenting styles / norms, sensibilities related to breast feeding and food preparation, and the very poorly articulated, inaccurate language used to describe the nature of viciously abusive, physical punishment directed at a child, are the areas of concern that are blocking the need for immediate removal from a dangerous situation and protection of the children. The actions of the defendants attorney, Ms. McKeon, have deflected attention, interfered and attempted to insinuate bias by introducing factually false, defamatory, libelous information through the Affidavit proffered by her client. Most disturbing is the fact that Ms. McKeon fabricated the content of the Affidavit, presumably aided by her client. Ms. McKeon neglected to note that her client speaks rudimentary English. Her capacity to write in the English language goes beyond rudimentary as her skills are in no way comparable to the author Joseph Conrad who traversed Polish to English.*

[REDACTED] has achieved a skill for plucking the heart strings of Face Book compatriots who write Go Fund Me Pages for her, quite successfully.* They write her story of savage abuse by the husband who stole her breast feeding baby away from her..... to save the five year old from savage abuse behind locked doors, separated from her father who was

left searching for keys to unlock the door and rescue the child*. [REDACTED]'s momentary, pyrrhic victory of that moment and others like that moment will be undermined by the recordings of the pleading child screaming, pleading for daddy to come because she is being hit and it "hurts". The child is screaming in Spanish and English but the language of torment is universal.

A comment on the cowardly behavior of two providers who have seen the child -

A provider expelled the child, mother, and father from their practice, expressed fear of the mother, suggested the mother get another counselor of her own, not wanting to be involved any longer.

The counselor had two sessions together with the child and the parents.

Another counselor had three sessions with the child alone.

Both counselors were informed of the child being punished by the mother immediately following an appointment where one of the counselors had repeated to the mother that the child stated she feared her mother because her mother hit her.

The mother punished the child for "saying bad things about mommy that could get mommy in trouble".

These two providers went so far as to lie on their "clinical " write up.*

Two other providers were receiving anonymous phone calls that they found threatening, one felt she was being followed, and one secured police protection for several weeks and was accompanied from office to car because of fear of [REDACTED].*

Ms. McKeon, like [REDACTED]'s Facebook friends, penned the family divorce catastrophe she needed as a script for divorce.* Ms. McKeon felt free to present authoritative statements about [REDACTED] – psychiatric, medical, vocational status, rewriting history, prognosticating future events, all in excellent, neatly typed English. Ms. McKeon failed to represent pride of authorship and in so doing, she failed to note that the bit of fiction she offered to the court represented fraud, libel, defamation and perjury on the part of [REDACTED] and numerous dangers to Ms. McKeon's license as it will be enumerated before this Bar Association via documentation to be presented.

Ms. McKeon further directly interfered with the welfare of the child by demanding the termination of treatment begun with a licensed child therapist at the YWCA. The child informed significant others that she enjoyed her therapy session, felt comfortable and safe, and spoke to the therapist about her mother hitting her, and a day in the car when her mother assaulted the father while he was driving with both children in the car (seven month old baby and five year old). When the five year old tried to defend her father from her mother's assault, the mother is said to have thrown a pair of winter boots at her and told her to "shut up". The therapist was promptly dismissed as per Ms. McKeon's direction to the client. Referral by Ms. McKeon was made to the Child Guidance Center as per Ms. McKeon's contact with staff at the center directly. The child's mother was seen for intake alone and with the child who signed her own name as a client of the Center before the father had an intake appointment and the situation could be reasonably assessed. Ms. McKeon's obstruction of the evidence collection process presents a clear and present danger to the child, among other significant issues that will be addressed in further discussion and documentation.

Our immediate objective is to secure the safe transfer of both children to [REDACTED]. The peril to the five year old is most acute. There was never any attempt to extort, threaten or deprive [REDACTED] of a reasonable custody/property/financial settlement. Ms. McKeon and her greedy, immoral, unconscionable demands, her depraved desire/willingness to strip a loving father from protecting a vulnerable child goes beyond the boundaries of civilized behavior, much less the tenets of the Canons of the Bar. The attempt to assert a false positive, to create an impression that there is an argument for interpretation of the mother's clear and present pathology, indicates a level of attempt at conscious "gas lighting" misrepresentation on the part of Ms. McKeon. Her failure to vet her client's claims, given the weighty matter of child custody and protection and endangerment suggests, among other things, a failure of fiduciary responsibility and a reckless willingness to slander, vilify and defraud. The attempt at insertion of any degree of doubt, which would then move the court to request time consuming, laborious, expensive, unnecessary interventions must be viewed as an abuse of power and manipulation of the legal process

We trust that the bar for ethical legal behavior by statute and code will not be ignored or lowered by this Bar.

Further complaint and documentation will follow this immediate complaint.

*Exhibits to Follow with Part II of this Complaint

descriptive