

INTRODUCTION

I am Jill Jones-Soderman PhD, MSW, MSHS, Executive Director of the Foundation For The Child Victims Of The Family Courts, to be referred to as the FCVFC.

The FCVFC is an organization that deals with Forensic Evaluation, Expert Witness Consultation, Diagnostic Evaluation, particularly in the area of Predator in Possession of Children Assessment. Our concerns are focused on the Constitutionally Protected Rights of vulnerable children and Protective Parents. Transfer of children from Protective Parents defending abused children in danger of transfer into the hands of accused abusers is the focus of our complaints against actors in the case to be discussed.

The FCVFC in its mission and practice is color blind and gender neutral, acts without prejudice to religion, or creed. We deal with clients from all regions of the U.S. as well as dealing with international abduction, from the U.S. to other parts of the world and vice versa. Our clients are multi cultural, multi lingual.

The FCVFC reviews Standard of Care, Public Policy as it relates to Institutional Abuse, particularly as indicated in Death Review Statistics of Murder and Suicide as related to Children and Protective Parents in Wrongful Death Litigation. We are expressly interested interested in the Liberty Rights of Parents to fend against the incursions of special interest groups, male or female, court actors, whom interfere with the duty and right of a parent to guard the the physical, emotional, educational, religious welfare of children. Our goal is to maintain a reasonable dynamic between parents, despite instances of malignant judicial discretionary interference, whether based on overt malfeasance of practice, exercise of undue influence, over reacting, conflict of interest, unconscious bias, (counter transference – unconscious) or conscious implicit, baked in bias and ignorance of areas of expertise that should be consulted.

The FCVFC routinely reviews multiple client intakes on a daily basis. The callers are seeking help with the most dire issues – true and false allegations of child abuse and neglect of every nature, including those that assert the most depraved insinuations of child trafficking, various forms of incest, bestiality, physical brutality and neglect, to less dramatic content. No matter what the content, the consequences are no less meaningful as to ultimate impact on the subject children.

I am always struck by the fact that the overwhelming number of our cases are impacted most, not by the insufferable behavior of a deranged, depraved parent or guardian/custodian, but the ignorance, indifference, insensitivity, abuse of authority or

failure to use authority where such authority would avoid disaster, sometimes criminally corrupt behavior. Failure to act in the Best Interest of Children, with attention to Daubert Expert Standard, attention to experts' scientific procedure, factual accuracy, failure to hold each expert to a consistent level of practice with each court appearance, places children's lives at risk as indicated in the inadequately lurid press and the numbers studied in Death Review Boards. Next to the Judiciary, a variety of court actors, Police who fail in their Prosecutorial Discretion, or abuse of Discretion to prosecute, Licensing Boards who fail to provide oversight for Standard of Care, Standard of Practice are culpable of negligence, ignorance, moral turpitude, bias, prejudice, failure to act as the first line of Gate Keepers and thus hand children over to unspeakable fates. The FCVFC through its multiple forms of speaking truth to power, seeks to, respectfully, maintain attention to Due Process, Accountability and Child Protection within the context of the current court litigation.

THE FOUNDATION FOR THE CHILD VICTIMS OF THE FAMILY COURTS
A NOT FOR PROFIT ORGANIZATION

275 MADISON AVE.

6TH FLOOR

NEW YORK, NEW YORK

866 – 553 – 6931

Date – 6/14/2017

To – Howard County Bar Association
Office of the Bar Counsel
200 Harry s. Truman Parkway
Suite 300
Annapolis, Md. 21401

Re: Howard County – Attorney Grievance Commission Of Maryland
Case No. 13 – C – 17 – 110150
Transferred from Montgomery County
Montgomery County Case No. - 76471 – F1

Complaint On Behalf of Child KA
Nature of Complaint – Child Endangerment
Complaint Against : Alisa G. Cummins, Esq.
3290 N. ridge Rd. suite – 320
Elicot City, Md. 21043
Fax No. - 410 – 465 – 7020 Phone – 410 465 -0001
Christopher L. Rand, Esq.
6851 Oak Hall Lane suite 305

Columbia, Md. 21045

Phone – 410 – 220 – 6931 Fax No. - 410 – 792 – 4694

From – Jill Jones-Soderman

Executive Director – FCVFC/Child Forensic Advocacy

Producer – Special Angels Media – Predator In Possession

Publisher -US whistle Bower

Form attached Introduction – pg.1Complaint – pg. 2 – 2

COMPLAINT

The instant joint complaint being filed against attorneys Alisa G. Cummins and Christopher L. Rand as related in this complaint is jettisoned by their mutual engagement in what can only be viewed as a pattern of behavior indicative of witness intimidation, suppression of speech, packing the appearances of experts with those witnesses strictly associated with Rand and Cummins/Cummins and Rand, in multiple prosecutions of cases carried out by the joint efforts of these practitioners.

This complaint explicitly alleges that Christopher Rand and Alisa Cummins are acting in concert to isolate a child whom has repeatedly, over years, explicitly described physical, sexual, emotional abuse, acts of humiliation and punishment against her, to medical providers, her teachers, child protective services. Those witnesses have been rapidly shut down by Cummins, Rand. Investigation by the Howard County Police was stopped as was any investigation by Howard County CPS, despite the fact that an investigation begun by CPS in another county found the child's allegations of grave concern. Their investigation was stopped in its tracks as per Rand and Cummins transfer of the case to Howard County and their calls discrediting the child and the investigator from another county.

The first mess that occurred was that the attorney for the mother bungled a primary defense, the jurisdiction transfer from Montgomery county to Howard County. The vulnerable mother child were quite literally low hanging fruit for the well connected, embedded triumvirate of Rand and Cummins. A new attorney appears to be equally impotent, clueless and ineffectual as to his approach to protecting the child.

As per the divorce decree the child's mother had full legal and custodial authority, with liberal visitation to the father. The child was to be raised in the Jewish religion, but with respectful attention to her father's religious ties. Attempts at living with the provisions of a reasonable parenting plan degenerated as the reasons for the separation and divorce emerged with increased intensity as the child was left in complete isolation with the parent whom was accused of multiple forms of improper behavior before the separation and divorce.

In essence, the parent who seeks a no fault divorce in hopes of achieving a civilized divorce is then forced to litigate the underpinnings of the reasons for the divorce in the first place to protect herself and the child she sought to protect. The facts of violence and abuse that exist throughout time are suddenly treated as if they never existed in a new jurisdiction and the mother, in this instance is treated as a lunatic, subject to charges of prevaricating, coaching, manipulating, alienating the child from the husband/father from whom she separated, at enormous cost to herself, for inescapable, thoroughly documented cause. The mother fled from economic stability – abandoned child support, attempted to live with and manage a realistically untenable parenting plan – because the person she fled continued to be a dangerous child abuser whose appetite for domination and control was not diminished by physical, geographic separation.

While the courts and attorneys may wish to play a charade, to evade the truth of massive child endangerment that exists in the Howard County Family courts, the experts of the FCVFC absolutely refuse to mince words, play games, fail to confront a pattern of alleged collusion between multiple state/court actors engaging in the destruction of innumerable lives via the patterns of deception, witness tampering which should have been prosecuted under 18USC1512 years ago. Too many court actors have profited from the suffering of too many vulnerable subjects because of greed, cowardice and self absorption.

The case of ten year old KA is overflowing with accessible, hard evidence which court actors Cummins and Rand have hysterically acted to suppress with illegal, ridiculous court orders, phone calls to case non parties and irrational acts of attempts to plug communication leaks in the dyke of the Howard County Jewish community. KA's absence from her school, ordered by Alisa Cummins, one week before the end of her school year, because KA cried out for help, acts as a resounding howl in the void, as opposed to the wish for imposed silence. The chill of the violent removal of the child from the school and community she trusted and loved will have a ripple effect in many quarters, not accompanied by amnesia.

Despite the fact that Cummins and Rand are supposed to function as separate, individuals, a review of their family court history indicates a pattern of functioning as a well oiled machine, rail - roading and trampling the protected right of protective parents to protect their children from abuse. Christopher Rand, attorney retained, in our experience, for a parent with dark secrets, crimes to hide and undisclosed financial wealth, engages the service of his cohort, Alisa Cummins “Best Interest Attorney,” whose “Best Interests” turnout to be the interests of the Rand/Cummins client with secrets to hide and a child witness to unconscionable, heinous crimes, silenced.

We see a pattern of intimidation against ineffective adversaries, a court that appears so smitten by the Rand/Cummins team that the directive to judges to write orders is

responded to by asking how quickly, or – just write them yourselves. Rand and Cummins assert their will in packing the court with experts of their choice, however negatively controversial those experts are known to be and limiting or excluding witnesses for the parent seeking to protect a child against heinous crimes alleged against the client backed by Rand/Cummins team. We see a broad pattern of witness intimidation with Alisa Cummins acting as the Agent for Christopher Rand's client by issuing threats to witnesses or the organizations they represent, marshaling emergency hearings on manufactured grounds instituted to preclude witnesses from testifying or placing witnesses in the position of having to speak and report only to Cummins whose willingness to accede her authority at every turn is endless and relentless.

Cummins propensity to assume that she can issue orders to non parties in a case, independent witnesses, experts, consultants, advocates, she robs the witness of credibility, independence, steals their testimony in order to subvert, suppress and even lie about testimony for her own purposes. The instances of such occurrences are numerous and fully documented. In the case of KA, Cummins hubris in arrogantly running around issuing orders is extremely well documented and witnessed.

The collaboration of Rand and Cummins, through a pattern of legal procedural manipulation, maneuver, abuse of process, inimical ingratiating with court personnel to advance personal gain over client service and fiduciary responsibility is ruthless. The discovery launched by Rand in a child abuse case in which he seeks financial discovery referred to as a discovery colonic, against a mother whom has given up her claim for child support appears paradoxical. At the same time the acts of suppression of hard evidence related to proper exploration of the accused abusers psychological state related to sexual identity, interest an engagement in pedophilia, pornography, criminality, faking scales is fully absent from the profile of the court appointed psychologist.

The psychologist appointed in the KA case has a reputation replete with fear and loathing in the Howard County community, such reputation earned for false accusation, manipulation of data, failure to perform appropriate, focused testing on accused abusers, failure to interview relevant witnesses, failure to properly interview children and deal with their utterances, exclamations, accounts, recollections and place them into the court record where they belong.

Prior to the McMartin pre school child abuse case (Manhattan Beach, Ca. 1987 - 1993) children's accounts of abuse were indiscriminately accepted by mental health professionals who filled in the blanks before content was disclosed. Following McMartin, the mental health profession has bent over backward to discredit and ignore child testimony, leaving the field of accurate, credible child evidence presentation in acute disarray. Adding to the disarray is the presence of predator lawyers who exploit the lacunae of the deficit in describing techniques for presenting child testimony in the

context of custody litigation to defend predator child custodians obsessed with having their way with a subject child and protective parent by prevailing in court, gaining access to the exclusion of all child rescue.

The litigation tactics of Rand and Cummins include manipulating the child's environment, educationally, socially, medically, psychologically, religiously, to the point that everything the child loves, feels safe with is placed in jeopardy. Rand loves to put forth his commendation of the truly wonderful 4 H club in which children raise plants and animals, display their accomplishments. Rand does not disclose the children's loathing for 4 H because in the 4 H world occupied by Rand, populated by his cohorts where animal slaughter, slaughter of the beloved pets the children raised is alleged to be a central feature. The behavior described by children which may in fact comprise ritual, sadistic abuse of animals is described repeatedly by multiple children. This very dark side of the Rand animal husbandry culture is not part of normal, healthy agricultural training. It is of note how many children of abusers represented by Rand find themselves engaged in 4 H. KA is applauded as a highly intelligent, responsible child by Rand and is described as loving 4 H activities. KA is indeed known as a child who loves animals, loves other children her brother, her mother and is very well known to hate 4 H.

The child KA has articulated her hatred of 4 H, her hatred of the environment in which she is now confined, which includes descriptions of animal abuse. Her complete isolation, encapsulation in an environment walled off from all rescue appears to have become increasingly insular with machinations of the court and the questionable actions, inaction, failure of acute intervention on the part of the current legal representation allowing the child to languish in the untenable fetid atmosphere of increasingly severe, aggressive abuse, uninhibited, unfettered, because the abuser has full access and no limitation as the foxes are guarding the hen house and the armed guards are in prison.

Factual, concrete demonstration of hard evidence of lies in court documents, through false representation of client statements, failure to include factual, compelling historical events related to very severe, documented domestic violence, the fact that the Rand/Cummins client went to jail for refusing to disclose to the court the whereabouts of the child at a time that the child was to be given to her mother is remarkably left out of the court record. All that the accused abuser's crimes are ascribed to the mother who find herself shadow boxing with the truth in a world of smoke and mirrors. Gas lighting as a litigation technique has become an art form in the arsenal of weapons hurled by Rand and Cummins as one swears to false hoods and the other sets the stage for implementation of penalties for crimes never committed but charged and proved against a vulnerable protective parent.

The litigation pillage and rape of litigation clients results in the subject parent being rendered beyond hysterical, beyond capable of fighting back, pleading for mercy for

their child, willing to say and do anything to gain some access, some contact with the child they know to be living in a world in which death is a welcome relief. Often, the bone of supervised, locked down visitation is offered if the parent is quiet, ceases to make accusations, shuts down criticism of the abuser. The matrimonial mafia litigation team which points to the ravaged parent's psychological testing that says – the parent has elevated scales.....this means.....as opposed to this really means and this is where it comes from!

These conscious, intentional acts of violence against innocent, vulnerable, protective parents and children are unconscionable acts that should not be tolerated by judges in the Howard County Courts, yet they are. We find a consistent pattern of judges in the Howard County Courts falling into line, never questioning the material presented or inquiring into what else might explain the pattern of behavior presented. The issues related to the multiple unlawful acts of judges will not go unnoticed. One might under the simplest of circumstances question why do these cases always present in just the same way – same fact pattern, same scenario of virtuous character versus evil malevolence?

Of interest is that no one in this judicial community appears to raise relevant, critical adversarial concerns as to why so many children's lives are destroyed when they become subject to the Howard County Courts. The case of KA we believe is a prototype of the assembly line litigation production put forth by Rand and Cummins in the destruction of vulnerable children and protective parents.

Cummins and Rand came to the court on multiple ex parte emergency petitions as to their whim, seeking to compel whomever is not their client, or those who present a challenge to their client, to cease and desist whatever they are doing that irritates them. On one such interesting occasion, both Christopher Rand and Alyssa Cummins called my office demanding answers to a non event which they, with malicious glee exploited to their consummate advantage, filing an action to exclude me, from engagement in a court action in which I was not a party. The motion filed May 12, 2017 seeking to not only exclude me from any appearance in the Howard County Court, but they also tried to exclude me, by fiat from speaking to an entire swath of people related to the case. The consequences of such action must be obvious to this panel by now.

The fact that I am not the only expert excluded by Rand and Cummins by personal attack is of most interest, especially since one of those experts took the extraordinary step to sue Alyssa Cummins for slander. Unfortunately, the great majority of subject victims of the likes of Cummins and Rand take the position, why should I bother, who needs the aggravation! The correct answer – if not me, then who? In the case of KA, the evidence, the witnesses, the facts are so present, so accessible, the harm so great the call to acts of conscience so compelling as to say we answer for KA and stand in opposition

to the cruelty and voice crushing pleas of the child. KA has spoken and there are many witnesses.

The facts that the triumvirate of Cummins and Rand engage in the repetitive pattern of character assassination of fit experts, in order to impart their own biased, self serving agenda, having no connection to the legal efficacy of the case and everything to do with the failure of the court/judges to act as representatives of the Constitution and body of laws they serve. Their capacity to lie, cheat, promote their mutual agendas to the destruction of those with whom they cross paths appears as adequate reason to advocate for the expulsion of Alisa Cummins and Christopher Rand as legal representatives to the community of the naive or the sophisticated whom are fully aware of what their retainer purchases.

The Foundation for the Child Victims of the Family Courts stands in opposition to the veil of secrecy attempting to be imposed by the presence of court officers who can be proven to have betrayed their fiduciary responsibility to the court and to their clients, to the destruction of vulnerable, innocent victims.

Jill Jones-Soderman