

## LIES AND LARCENY – ATTORNEYS AS A LIABILITY

JOHN WILLIAMS ESQ., NEW HAVEN, CONNECTICUT

While the Foundation for the Child Victims of the Family Courts fully applauds and upholds the rights of all human beings to have equal access to the courts, equal access to representation, equal due process, we vilify those who “confuse” righteous representation with the tactics of those we refer to as the “Marital Mafia”, those who use the authentic venues of the court to take advantage of the innocent and vulnerable. The use of tactics that do not begin to approach legal practice, use of the intellectual content, knowledge of procedure, application of law to litigation, that in effect stoop to lies, bullying, back door influence will be called out for such practices, routed in the court, exposed in the court of public opinion and reported to all governing bodies that register their “professional” practice. John Williams Esq. of Hartford, Ct. is one such ignominious character who does not improve the law by testing and trying it to raise standards.

The case in point that pays tribute to Mr. Williams lack of legal skill, competence, integrity No. 316cvcv54(SRU) involves Mr. Williams representation of an individual, Scott Powell who has been accused of using the venue of the courts, court actors – judges, lawyers, guardian ad litem, 'child protective services”, psychologists, counselors and other professionals to hide egregious crimes against his children and his ex wife. Powell has been able to seek out those in the ranks of the “Marital Mafia” whom are known to be willing to do the bidding of those willing to pay to torture facts, omit critical information, to engage in unspeakable acts of professional mal practice because those who are to stand guard have had their own integrity compromised and are part of the go along to get along practice that is crippling/fouling the legal practice and practitioners in the Connecticut Juvenile, Dependency and Family Courts.

Mr. Williams has taken on the athletic task of suing specific New Canaan Police and grandparents of two teenage girls who attempted to escape years of multiple forms of abuse visited upon them by their father, Scott Powell of New Canaan Ct.. Williams has alleged that Powell was deprived of time with his daughters because the New Canaan Police believed the claims of the girls when the girls came to the police to inform them that they would be seeking court intervention to remove themselves from their father's custody, with the assistance of grandparents they had barely seen in more than five years.

Scott Powell was supported by the cast of known guns to hire in the Connecticut Community whom are able to persuade the corrupt and incompetent who occupy the seats of law and power in the Connecticut courts. This article will candidly name those who are the subject of this in depth article focusing on the autopsy of an abortion of a custody proceeding and the fact that the proceeding is governed not only by disrespect for the law and legal process, but by the rank stupidity and seeming ignorance of the law, demonstrated by Mr. Williams.

The law suit begins with the accusation that police officers conspired with grandparents who were by default called into action to rescue their grand daughters when their granddaughters made it clear that if they could not escape the ongoing brutality of their father, they intended to end their lives, that day. An alternate plan for rescue fell through and there was no other source of potential rescue, except for the grand parents they had only seen one day a year, for one hour at a diner in supervised visitation over Christmas holiday. The seriousness of the request was accurately understood and responded to.

The lawyer for the girls, Alex Schwartz, Esq. of Southport, Ct. spoke with, met with the girls alone. Attorney Schwartz wrote the Protective Order Complaints, based on the girls' statements, which were not shared with the grandparents prior to court. Attorney Schwartz did have Rick Diehl sign the Protective Order as if he had written the Protective Order. The strategy to have the girls brought to court on Mon. morning, for a hearing to provide a venue for them to speak in their own behalf, involved a twenty four hour period of hiding out until the girls could be seen by a judge to procure the Protective Order. The girls were prepared to speak.

The plan was to arrange custody removal from Scott Powell, their father, to their grandparents. Powell had so decimated the reputation and character of the children's mother/Protective Parent that her ability to see them, much less to protect and save them had been pulverized with skilled precision. The same cast of characters that would again interfere with their flight to safety were brought into play to return the girls to their abuser and destroy their short lived rescue/respice period.

Apparently, Williams failed to review the Protective Order initially granted by Superior Court, Judge Erica Tindill, based on statements prepared by the girls with their lawyer and the attendant transcripts. He further seemed to discredit the meaningfulness of multiple rights violations, due process violations, distortion of

court testimony over the course of Protective Order hearings. He overlooked the lack of credibility of a psychologist - Eric Frazer – testifying against subjects he had no contact with in five years and subjects he had never seen or spoken with, ever. The content of fact and law, apparently appeared to Williams as an insignificant barrier to success to the continued and ongoing violation of the rights and welfare of the children and extended family, not to mention the significant challenge posed by questioning the judgment of police officers protecting children.

The compelling nature of the transcripts derives from the fact that it reveals a level of cruel/sadistic abuse, described as per the police portrait of two little girls huddled together, bravely fighting for their lives. This fact noted by the interviewing police was clearly completely ignored by Mr. Williams in his pursuit of suing the New Canaan police for interference in Scott Powell's rights to continue to control, manipulate, intimidate his daughters. The powerful mandate of Prosecutorial Discretion was ignored as to the officers credibility as to the judgment of concern for the girls. The police took the position that they would allow the girls to proceed to court to present the evidence that the police sensed was inherent in testimony that if pressed would be presented. The authority of the interviewers came from years of experience – they knew the look.

The police officers described their fears of touching or interfering with a process that on some very primary level clearly impacted the officers and caused them to understand and corroborate that something terrible was going on and that the girls needed to be allowed to get to court to fight for their freedom. Respect for the grandparents was affirmed as was belief in the girls cryptic statements. The girls' statements were backed up by written records that the police felt fearful of viewing or questioning at the time of the girls appearance and decided not to contaminate the material presented or harm the girls for pressing for information expected, by their presentation as traumatic. The disclosing diaries referred to in the transcript, held secrets clearly quickly believed.

At the onset of the case brought by Williams, every aspect of Williams filing was questioned, the manner in which he referred to “under color of law”, contradicted the use of the term of art as used in case law. Court clarification was requested. Williams quoted case law that he clearly never checked for accuracy as cases he used to support his argument had been overturned and therefore undercut his arguments.

In a most recent filing/claim against Scott Powell, Williams requests that the court dismiss the claims detailed in the immediate complaint against Scott Powell. He

refers to the claims as “purported” and describes them as”gibberish”. He states, “the voluminous document filed by these people consists of more than thirty pages of unintelligible gibberish directed against the (Scott Powell), a state court judge (Erica Tindill), the Connecticut Department of Children and Families and other innocent people. It is impossible to understand, much less answer, this document”.

Mr. Williams needs to not underestimate his adversaries, to understand that every court actor, every therapist, every school teacher, every school principal, every school psychologist, medical doctor who failed to treat the Lyme disease that ravages their bodies, every lawyer who has touched and betrayed these children will be the subject of unrelenting, unremitting, unforgiving litigation. The litigation to be pursued will be pursued until each of these monsters are held accountable for their acts of cruelty and betrayal in the courts and in the courts of public opinion where their acts will be exposed to the light . We know that these children are not their only victims, that their cruelty to these children, their mother, grandparents and extended family range far beyond the scope of this family and that other families have suffered as well. We will not forget them and they will know us.

## PART II

### SUPPRESSION OF EVIDENCE/MANIPULATION OF FACTS

#### ATTORNEYS AS A LIABILITY

In a court hearing before Judge Erica Tindill, April 21,2016 the process of blocking all evidence of the crimes against children committed by Scott Powell continued with the suppression of evidence facilitated by Tindill's preventing of the the ability of the children to be seen in an in camera interview with the judge. Copious amounts of critical evidence put together by the girls, on their own, independent of family facilitation was suppressed by the judge, in lock step with the attorney for the girls. At various points in that hearing it became clear that attorney Schwartz decided to betray his fiduciary responsibility to his young clients. Attorney Schwartz prepared the statements in the Protective Order issued to the court based on the written statements of the girls, yet he had the girl's grandfather sign the Protective Order as if he had prepared the report. Having had the grandfather sign the report as if he had prepared the complaint caused him to be placed in a position of being called upon to testify to material he had not seen as written before preparation for court Sunday to Monday morning's court

appearance. In essence, he was placed in a position of being blind sided in court to attest to complaints the girls confided to their attorney, not their grandfather, at least, not at that time, in the written statement as put forth. The complaints of abuse accurately filed survived time through years of writing in confidence and reports to “child protective services” from 2011 but failed to admission in critical court testimony.

Attempts at reporting/seeking help through court appointed custody evaluator Eric Frazer were derailed by Frazer's refusal to even entertain their pleas for help for protection from their violent, abusive father who they deeply feared. Frazer, in his fifteen minute interview with the girls chastised them for not appreciating their father and then punished them by separating them from their beloved mother and everyone they loved based on false testimony, polluted by junk science and legal representation that ranged from incompetent to thoroughly corrupt.

The supercilious report recorded in Frazer's words of clinical ignorance and ineptitude, misogyny and condescension insulted, demeaned, humiliated the girls in the most personal, intimate manner. Frazer whose questionable credentials, from questionable institutions, false associations with Yale – he is a volunteer, not staff was able to opine about subjects on which he was originally incompetent and ignorant. As of 2011 and with five years of no contact with the subjects of his disdain, hateful, destructive rhetoric he remained as ignorant and arrogant as before, but allowed to give testimony uninterrupted by attorney Schwartz or inhibited by the judge who clearly detests children. Years later Frazer is allowed to spew falsehoods, completely unfounded opinions – based on - the client who paid him to speak to ruin the reputations, the lives of the subjects he was allowed -by Tindill and Schwartz to proceed against in unfettered lies. Frazer was allowed to make horrific statements about people that he had never met and never spoken with and stated as such on the stand. Unfazed and even emboldened by Judge Tindill's failure to limit Frazer's testimony never having seen, spoken with, reviewed records on the subjects of his libelous statements, as Tindill repeated and expanded upon the falsehoods stated by Frazer, the decision was made to protect the children from their “dangerous” grandparents – Boni and Clyde of Wilton, Ct., Tindill insisted the girls must have had regular contact with their grandparents over the years as Tindill constructed her own version of events related to their escape, motives, modus operandi – all thoroughly detached from any and all factual reality.

Tindill allowed Frazer to ramble and roam as he fawned over his own rhetoric and Tindill sat mesmerized. Alex Schwartz, the attorney for the girls and the grandparents,

completed testimony, listened to Tindill's decision to return the girls to their abuser, he rushed from court so as not to be late to meet with his soon to be ex in law's for Passover dinner, leaving the victims to their fate, without preserving their rights to Stay the decision, Appeal, file other forms of intervention available to them.

### PART III

#### EVIDENCE OF HEINOUS CRIMES READ INTO THE RECORD

Twenty one detailed, specific events that occurred and had been occurring for years, stated to have occurred just weeks and days before the girls escaped, the precipitants to the plan to leave - or die, were elucidated, not by victim testimony – blocked by Judge Erica Tindill, not by attorney Schwartz's testimony examination, blocked by his strategic decision to alter the course of presenting testimony, but by Jacob Pyetranker, associate attorney, of the Gary Cohen Law firm. Jacob Pyetranker, attorney for Scott Powell demurred from taking on the suit against the New Canaan police and the grandparents of the Powell girls, methodically identified each of the charges listed in the Protective Order filed before Judge Erica Tindill that allowed the girls the opportunity to be heard as victims in a court of law. The opportunity to be heard stolen from them in the past by the ineptitude, the ignorance, possibly by criminal interference and pressure brought by the connected lawyers related to “Father's Rights” groups patrons of attorney Gary Cohen and psychologist Frazer were about to read the charges against Scott Powell in a court of law. The girls were poised to be in a position of vindication but were again robbed of the victory and freedom from abuse that they so well deserved.

Charges of child rape were brought against Scott Powell. One such description of an act of assault was deflected by statements by a DCF worker that suggested a scene of assault by immobilization, his hands covering the child's mouth was “an attempt to calm the child”. The question raised in response to the DCF caseworker's “explanation” of the assault scene was “why did he have his pants off?”..... That question was never answered. The response to accusations of rape and abuse was to place the children in “therapy” as – “whatever happened will come out in therapy”. The person assigned to pick “therapists was Eric Frazer the same person who ignored, humiliated the children in his disgusting evaluation which did not begin to meet any professional standard for a child abuse evaluation/custody evaluation. Eric Frazer was then assigned the task of supervising the therapists” so that no disclosures would ever be leaked to any proper authority who would take action on behalf of the girls, held by their abuser via control of medical records, medical consent for treatment. Providers like Cody O'Connell and Sarah Beltran alternately reported to Scott Powell any statements of concern and threatened the girls that disclosure would result in their separation as part of referrals to foster care. The threat of separation of the sisters was their worst nightmare, worse than living with Scott Powell.

The twenty one detailed disclosures stated on the record in court – not on behalf of the girls, but in an attempt to impeach Rick Diehl, as per set up of attorney Alex Schwartz, came from the defender of their abuser. However, detailed on the record are their disclosures, framed by attorney Pyetranker as false statements made up by the children's grandparents, the detail and intimacy of the disclosures, the interdigitation of factual evidence over time, place, multiple actors, provide a trail where facts verify facts and a grim picture of the truth of the lives of physical, emotional torture endured since 2011 by these girls impeccably emerge.

John Williams, Jacob Pyetranker, Alex Schwartz, Erica Tindill – lawyers who are a liability to the public welfare, must be held accountable for their acts both civil and criminal.