

TO - CHRISTINE SIRGENT AND JOSHUA CLARK - "STARZ 1990"

RE: JUDGE THURBER, NY – (refer to attached National Law Review Journal) – 4/25/2015

The Judge who has brutally harassed Joshua Clark who sought assistance from the Foundation for the Child Victims of the Family Courts is the subject of a current National Law Journal which describes a law suit against Judge Thurber demanding her removal from the bench. Judges, normally are covered by immunity, however multiple complaints for offenses that violate immunity coverage does subject a judge to removal for cause.

Ms. Sirgent chose to send in a complaint against Judge Thurber under the name of the Foundation when the foundation's complaint protocol had as yet not been completed. When the court called to ask if the Foundation filed a complaint against Judge Thurber, we did not choose to endanger Mr. Clark by his girl friend's actions and informed the court that there were a litany of violations committed by the court against Mr. Clark, worthy of violation. The complaint against a judge that goes through a series of reviews was eventually released, as per approval by Mr. Clark . However, because Ms. Sirgent wrote her own complaint in support of Mr. Clark, using the Foundation's name, the board of the Foundation refused any further dealings with Mr. Clark or Ms. Sirgent. Ms. Sirgent had never been a client of the Foundation. Mr. Clark's complaint, based on his case file of documents, still in the possession of the Foundation was seen in one five hour consultation, apart from extensive review of his documents. Mr. Clark provided a signed release for review of document staff and release of a complaint in his behalf as part of a strategy defined to begin dealing with his case. Given the out of control behavior of the couple. Their extensive demands and breach of confidentiality. The foundation as refused to work with Mr. Clark any further. As Ms. Sirgent is not married to Mr. Clark she poses a threat to him as someone who is not married to him and therefore not subject to marital confidentiality, prohibited from testifying against him.