

THE FOUNDATION FOR THE CHILD VICTIMS OF THE FAMILY COURTS
A NOT FOR PROFIT ORGANIZATION
275 MADISON AVE.
6TH FLOOR
NEW YORK, NEWYORK, 10016
866 – 553 - 6931

11/15/2017

State Of Ct.
Division of Criminal Justice
Kevin Lawlor, Esq.
14 West St.
POB 210
Milford, Ct. 06460

Phone – 203 – 874 -3361

Fax -203 – 283 -8268

From – Jill Jones – Soderman
Executive Director - FCVFC

Re: Complaint – Amy Bepko, Esq.

Mr. Lawlor,

I am in receipt of your response to my letter of complaint to you with regard to the behavior of a staff member, attorney Amy Bepko.

At risk of being perceived as obsessive and carping, my complaint against Ms. Bepko involved, among other issues, her tone deafness, as well as her basic rudeness to a member of the public she serves, much less a professional attempting to address a serious issue. As noted, Ms. Bepko had some awareness, from a variety of inescapable vantage points, of roiling issues within the home of the client whose criminal complaint Ms. Bepko successfully prosecuted, with the acute intelligence and evidence presentation of the victim.

As per your incorrectly stated repetition of my complaint against the many violations committed against the subject child and her family, I did not have “concerns” as per the mal functioning of law enforcement, the courts and DCF as to how these agencies have handled this case. I had/have criticism of the conduct in every facet of the agencies

noted as to their dealings with this case. Further, I am well aware of exactly what your agency can and cannot do, within the limitations of jurisdictional constraint, when that personnel has any interest or initiative to take such action. Ms. Bepko demonstrated, again, that she did not have any such authentic interest, other than covering for what I anticipate was her expectation of complaint from me.

The well known definition of insanity is taking the same action over and over again and expecting a different outcome. Attorney Bepko and you were informed of the status of law enforcement's intervention, namely, that local law enforcement is being sued for their attempt at intervention on behalf of the subject child and DCF continues to function in a manner that is contrary to the interests of the population for whose protection they are charged to protect.

Attorney Bepko failed to ever listen to the question that I originally addressed to her, before I contacted the Stamford-Norwalk State's Attorney's office.

In conclusion, my former complaint against attorney Bepko stands with additional evidence of her rudeness, indifference, condescension and utter maipic/ tone deafness.

The Stamford-Norwalk Office of the State's Attorney was contacted 10/10/2017 with entirely satisfactory outcome.

Jill Jones-Soderman